

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/731,564	SPORRE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Minh-Chau T. Pham.

(3) Mr. Thomas Gahr.

(2) Ms. Julie Daulton.

(4) Mr. Duane Smith.

Date of Interview: 07 April 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant shows a filter protocol which is a non-rectangular parallelogram with angled relative to each other to form a V-configuration with an apex.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-9, 11-20 and 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: All of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presents the criticalities of certain of the pending claims including for some claims the structure of the filter element being a non-rectangular parallelogram with angled relative to each other to form a V-configuration with an apex, and for certain of others of the pending claims cleaning the filter media by pulsing with a pressurized fluid at an angle of less than 80 degrees flowing into the downstream flow face of filter. These 2 criticalities are not disclosed by any of the prior arts of record. During the interview, a new art is present 2004/0226443 A1. This newly found art will be cited on the IDS as a supplemental IDS and will be filed by Applicant..